

**Copy of e-mail from Geoff Woodcock, Esh Group dated 23.04.08**

Kathleen,

Thank you for your e-mail below on behalf of TRAG, I will do my best to answer the points raised, particularly as some of them are extremely relevant to current Government policy on house-building and the major issue of carbon emissions.

I do not wish to dwell on the consultation exercise as we felt that the initial mistake by Fairhurst was more than adequately corrected by the offer of private consultations with the resident's missed on the initial posting, bearing in mind there will be another 'formal' consultation event during the planning application process. Perhaps we 'over-corrected' by then giving those individuals more time than visitor's to the exhibition felt they were getting?

It is always our intention at the Esh Group to do more than the 'minimum' requirement in terms of consultation, to help set ourselves apart from the Multi-National house builders, who we are trying to compete with purely on a regional basis, whereas they have the much greater financial clout associated with being large plc's.

In your recent e-mail of 22<sup>nd</sup> April you suggest "a meeting with your representatives and TRAG members only," presumably this was addressed to Fairhurst, I would not wish to compromise Fairhurst's position, as this may be seen by 'non TRAG residents' as yet more biased consultation, giving you a favoured position.

However, I am prepared to meet you myself and would be prepared to meet with, say, 3 or 4 people as representatives of TRAG, to discuss the issues you have raised, I am currently available on Thursday evening or Monday/Tuesday evenings next week, say 6.00pm?

(Paragraph containing personal telephone numbers etc. removed)

I will send this message now without answers to the points raised below in order to give you an opportunity to arrange the meeting, I will follow up with detailed responses later this evening.

Regards

Geoff Woodcock  
Operations Director  
Esh Developments